arXiv:1003.2278v2 [math.OA] 11 Apr 2011

MODULAR PROPERTIES OF MATRIX COEFFICIENTS OF COREPRESENTATIONS OF A LOCALLY COMPACT QUANTUM GROUP

MARTIJN CASPERS, ERIK KOELINK

Abstract. We give a formula for the modular operator and modular conjugation in terms of matrix coefficients of corepresentations of a quantum group in the sense of Kustermans and Vaes. As a consequence, the modular autmorphism group of a unimodular quantum group can be expressed in terms of matrix coefficients. As an application, we determine the Duflo-Moore operators for the quantum group analogue of the normaliser of $SU(1,1)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

1. Introduction

The definition of locally compact quantum groups has been given by Kustermans and Vaes [12], [13] at the turn of the millenium, and we use their definition of locally compact quantum groups in this paper. We stick mainly to the von Neumann algebraic setting [13]. Since the introduction of quantum groups in the 1980ies and their theoretical development, many results known in the theory of groups have been generalised to quantum groups in some setting. In particular, the theory of compact quantum groups has been settled satisfactorily by Woronowicz establishing analogues of the Haar measure and the Schur orthogonality relations for matrix elements of corepresentations analogous to the group case, see [21] and references given there. In particular, in the Kustermans-Vaes approach to locally quantum groups there is a well-defined notion of dual locally compact quantum group. Moreover, the double dual gives back the original locally compact quantum group.

In his thesis [2, §3.2] Desmedt generalises the Plancherel theorem for locally compact groups to the setting of quantum groups. Imposing sufficient conditions on a quantum group reminiscent of the conditions of the classical Plancherel theorem, he proves a decomposition of the biregular corepresentation in terms of tensor products of irreducible corepresentations. The intertwining operator, also called the Plancherel transformation, is given in terms of fields of positive self-adjoint operators which correspond to classical Duflo-Moore operators. One consequence of the quantum Plancherel theorem is the existence of orthogonality relations of matrix coefficients in terms of these operators.

The present paper focusses on the modular properties of matrix coefficients of a locally compact quantum group that satisfies the assumptions of Desmedt's Plancherel theorem. The orthogonality relations suggest that modular properties of integrals of the matrix coefficients

---

Date: April 11, 2011

Keywords: Locally compact quantum groups, Orthogonality relations, Duflo-Moore operators, Modular automorphism group, Plancherel measure.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification numbers: 20G42, 47D03, 47A67.

of corepresentations of a locally compact quantum can be expressed in terms of the corresponding operators of Duflo-Moore type. Here, we give the polar decomposition of the second operator (4.1) as in the Tomita-Takesaki theorem for a general locally compact quantum group satisfying the conditions of the Plancherel theorem, see Theorem 3.1. In the case of a unimodular locally compact quantum group, we obtain an explicit expression for the action of the modular automorphism group on matrix elements of corepresentations. This result is presented in Theorem 4.8.

In the second part of this paper, we determine the modular conjugation and the modular automorphism group for the case of the locally compact quantum group associated with the normaliser of $SU(1,1)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. This quantum group was introduced in [9] and further studied in [6], where the explicit decomposition of the left regular corepresentation is presented. We calculate the Duflo-Moore operators for almost all corepresentations in the decomposition of the left regular corepresentation. This extends Desmedt's result in [2, §3.5], where he determines Duflo-Moore operators for the discrete series corepresentations using summation formulas for basic hypergeometric series instead of the modular formula obtained in the present paper.

This paper is structured as follows. After introducing the notational conventions, we recall Desmedt's Plancherel theorem in Section 3. We indicate how his theorem implies orthogonality relations between matrix coefficients and prove a result about integrals of matrix coefficients that are square integrable, see Theorem 3.11. Next, in Section 4 we give a formula of the modular automorphism group of a unimodular quantum group in terms of matrix coefficients. In Section 5 we apply the theory of Sections 3 and 4 to determine the Duflo-Moore operators of the normaliser of $SU(1,1)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. Appendix A contains a technical result on direct integration and Appendix B proves that the example of Section 5 satisfies the assumptions of the Plancherel theorem.

2. Conventions and notation

For results on weight theory on von Neumann algebras our main reference is [18]. If φ is a weight on a von Neumann algebra M, we use the notation $\mathcal{N}_\varphi = \{x \in M \mid \varphi(x^*x) < \infty\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_\varphi = \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}_\varphi$, $\mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ = \mathcal{M}_\varphi \cap M^+$. σ_t^φ denotes the modular automorphism group of φ.

The definition of a locally compact quantum group we use is the one by Kustermans and Vaes [12], [13]. We briefly recall their notational conventions, see also [11], [21]. Let (M,Δ) be a locally compact quantum group, where M denotes the von Neumann algebra and Δ the comultiplication. So Δ is normal $*$-homomorphism $\Delta\colon M \to M \otimes M$ satisfying $(\Delta\otimes\iota)\Delta = (\iota\otimes\Delta)\Delta$, where ι denotes the identity. Moreover, there exist two normal semi-finite faithful weights φ, ψ on M so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\big((\omega\otimes\iota)\Delta(x)\big) &= \varphi(x)\omega(1), \qquad \forall\, \omega \in M_*^+, \forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ \qquad \text{(left invariance)},\\ \psi\big((\iota\otimes\omega)\Delta(x)\big) &= \psi(x)\omega(1), \qquad \forall\, \omega \in M_*^+, \forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}_\psi^+ \qquad \text{(right invariance)}. \end{aligned}$$

φ is the left Haar weight and ψ the right Haar weight. $(H_\varphi, \Lambda, \pi_\varphi)$ and $(H_\psi, \Gamma, \pi_\psi)$ denote the GNS-constructions with respect to the left Haar weight φ and the right Haar weight ψ respectively. Without loss of generality we may assume that $H_\varphi = H_\psi$ and $M \subset B(H_\varphi)$. The operator $W \in B(H_\varphi \otimes H_\varphi)$ defined by $W^*\big(\Lambda(a)\otimes\Lambda(b)\big) = \big(\Lambda\otimes\Lambda\big)\big(\Delta(b)(a\otimes 1)\big)$ is a unitary operator known as the multiplicative unitary. It implements the comultiplication $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for all $x \in M$ and satisfies the pentagonal equation $W_{12}W_{13}W_{23} = W_{23}W_{12}$ in $B(H_\varphi \otimes H_\varphi \otimes H_\varphi)$. In [12], [13], see also [11], [21], it is proved that there exists a dual locally compact quantum group $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$, so that $(\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}}) = (M, \Delta)$.

A unitary corepresentation U of a von Neumann algebraic quantum group on a Hilbert space H is a unitary element $U \in M \otimes B(H)$ such that $(\Delta \otimes \iota)(U) = U_{13}U_{23} \in M \otimes M \otimes B(H)$, where the standard leg-numbering is used in the right hand side. A closed subspace $L \subseteq H$ is an invariant subspace for the unitary corepresentation U if $(\omega \otimes \iota)(U)$ preserves L for all $\omega \in M_*$. A unitary corepresentation U in the Hilbert space H is irreducible if there are only trivial (i.e. equal to $\{0\}$ or the whole Hilbert space H) invariant subspaces. If U_1 is a corepresentation on a Hilbert space H_1 and U_2 is a corepresentation on a Hilbert space H_2, then U_1 is equivalent to U_2 if there is a unitary map $\Upsilon : H_1 \to H_2$, such that $(\iota \otimes \Upsilon)U_1 = U_2(\iota \otimes \Upsilon)$. We use the notation $\mathrm{IC}(M)$ for the equivalence classes of irreducible, unitary corepresentations of (M, Δ).

$(\hat{M}_u, \hat{\Delta}_u)$ denotes the universal dual and $(\hat{M}_c, \hat{\Delta}_c)$ denotes the reduced dual C*-algebraic quantum groups [10]. The dual weights are denoted by $\hat{\varphi}_u$ and $\hat{\psi}_u$ for $(\hat{M}_u, \hat{\Delta}_u)$ and $\hat{\varphi}_c$ and $\hat{\psi}_c$ for $(\hat{M}_c, \hat{\Delta}_c)$. Similarly, we have GNS-constructions $(H_\varphi, \hat{\Lambda}_{\hat{\varphi}_u}, \pi_{\hat{\varphi}_u})$ and $(H_\psi, \hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{\psi}_u}, \pi_{\hat{\psi}_u})$ for $(\hat{M}_u, \hat{\Delta}_u)$ and $(H_\varphi, \hat{\Lambda}_{\hat{\varphi}_c}, \pi_{\hat{\varphi}_c})$ and $(H_\psi, \hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{\psi}_c}, \pi_{\hat{\psi}_c})$ for $(\hat{M}_c, \hat{\Delta}_c)$. Recall that without loss of generality we may assume that H_φ equals H_ψ.

By $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_u)$ and $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_c)$ we denote the equivalence classes of irreducible, unitary representations of $\hat{M}_u$ and $\hat{M}_c$ respectively. We recall from [10] that there is a bijective correspondence between $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_u)$ and $\mathrm{IC}(M)$ and that $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_c)$ is contained in $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_u)$.

W denotes the multiplicative unitary associated with M. For $\omega \in M_*$ we define $\lambda(\omega) = (\omega \otimes \iota)(W) \in \hat{M}$. We set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\omega \in M_* \mid \Lambda(x) \mapsto \omega(x^*), x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \text{ is a continuous functional on } H_\varphi\}.$$

$\mathcal{I}$ is dense in M_* [12, Lemma 8.5]. By the Riesz representation theorem, for every $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ one can associate a unique vector denoted by $\xi(\omega)$ such that $\langle \xi(\omega), \Lambda(x) \rangle = \omega(x^*)$. The set $\xi(\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$, is dense in H_φ [12, Lemma 8.5]. Then the dual weight $\hat{\varphi}$ on $\hat{M}$ is the weight defined by the GNS-construction $\lambda(\omega) \mapsto \xi(\omega)$. This GNS-construction of $\hat{M}$ is denoted by $\hat{\Lambda}$. All these definitions have right analogues.

$$\mathcal{I}_R = \{\omega \in M_* \mid \Gamma(x) \mapsto \omega(x^*), x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi \text{ is a continuous functional on } H_\psi\}.$$

For $\omega \in \mathcal{I}_R$, there is a vector $\xi_R(\omega)$ such that $\langle \xi_R(\omega), \Gamma(x) \rangle = \omega(x^*)$. The set $\xi_R(\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{I}_R$, is dense in H_φ.

For $\alpha \in M_*$, we denote $\overline{\alpha} \in M_*$ for the functional defined by $\overline{\alpha}(x) = \overline{\alpha(x^*)}$. Define $M_*^\sharp = \{\alpha \in M_* \mid \exists \theta \in M_* : (\theta \otimes \iota)(W) = (\alpha \otimes \iota)(W)^*\}$. It can be shown [10] that for every $\alpha \in M_*^\sharp$ there is a unique $\theta \in M_*$ such that $(\theta \otimes \iota)(W) = (\alpha \otimes \iota)(W)^*$ and θ is determined by $\theta(x) = \overline{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}(x)), x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the unbounded antipode of (M, Δ). We will write α^* for this θ.

Basic results on direct integration can be found in [4]. For direct integrals of unbounded operators we refer to [15], [16] and [20, Chapter 12]. If X is a standard measure space with measure μ, we use the notation $(H_U)_{U \in X}$ or simply $(H_U)_U$ for a field of of Hilbert spaces H_U over X. If $(H_U)_U$ is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces we denote its direct integral by $\int_X^\oplus H_U d\mu(U)$. Similarly we add subscripts to denote fields of vectors, operators and representations.

Let H be a Hilbert space. We define the inner product to be linear in the first entry and anti-linear in the second entry. We denote the Hilbert-Schmidt operators on H by $B_2(H)$. Recall that $B_2(H)$ is a Hilbert space itself, which is isomorphic to $H \otimes \overline{H}$, the isomorphism being given by $\xi \otimes \overline{\eta} : h \mapsto \langle h, \eta \rangle \xi$. Here $\overline{H}$ denotes the conjugate Hilbert space. We denote vectors in $\overline{H}$ and operators acting on $\overline{H}$ with a bar. For $\xi, \eta \in H$ the normal functional $\omega_{\xi,\eta}$ on $B(H)$ is defined as $\omega_{\xi,\eta}(A) = \langle A\xi, \eta \rangle$. The domain of an (unbounded) operator A on H is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(A)$. The symbol $\otimes$ denotes either the tensor product of Hilbert spaces, the tensor product of operators or the von Neumann algebraic tensor product. It will always be clear from the context which tensor product is meant.

3. Plancherel Theorems

The classical Plancherel theorem for locally compact groups [5, Theorem 18.8.1] has a quantum group analogue, which has been proved by Desmedt in [2]. This section recalls part of Desmedt's Plancherel theorem and elaborates on minor modifications and implications of this theorem which turn out to be useful for explicit computations in Section 5.

For two unbounded operators A and B, we denote $A \cdot B$ for the closure of their product.

Theorem 3.1 (Desmedt [2, Theorem 3.4.1])**.** *Let (M, Δ) be a locally compact quantum group such that $\hat{M}$ is a type I von Neumann algebra and such that $\hat{M}_u$ is a separable C^*-algebra. There exist a standard measure μ on $\mathrm{IC}(M)$, a measurable field $(H_U)_U$ of Hilbert spaces, a measurable field $(D_U)_U$ of self-adjoint, strictly positive operators and an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}_L$ of H_φ onto $\int^\oplus B_2(H_U) d\mu(U)$ with the following properties:*

1. *For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ and μ-almost all $U \in \mathrm{IC}(M)$, the operator $(\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) D_U^{-1}$ is bounded and $(\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot D_U^{-1}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H_U.*
2. *For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}$ one has the Parseval formula*

$$\langle \xi(\alpha), \xi(\beta) \rangle = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left((\beta \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot D_U^{-1} \right)^* \left((\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot D_U^{-1} \right) \right) d\mu(U),$$

and $\mathcal{Q}_L$ is the isometric extension of

$$\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I})) \to \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^\oplus B_2(H_U) d\mu(U) : \ \xi(\alpha) \mapsto \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^\oplus (\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot D_U^{-1} d\mu(U).$$

Here μ is called the left Plancherel measure and $\mathcal{Q}_L$ is called the left Plancherel transform. We will be dealing with a right analogue of the Plancherel theorem as well, see [2, Remark 3.4.11]. Here we explicitly state the part of this theorem that is relevant for the present paper.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M, Δ) be a locally compact quantum group such that $\hat{M}$ is a type-I von Neumann algebra and such that $\hat{M}_u$ is a separable C^*-algebra. There exist a standard measure μ_R on $\mathrm{IC}(M)$, a measurable field $(K_U)_U$ of Hilbert spaces, a measurable field $(E_U)_U$ of self-adjoint, strictly positive operators and an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}_R$ of H_ψ onto $\int^\oplus B_2(K_U) d\nu(U)$ with the following properties:*

(1) *For all* $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_R$ *and* μ_R*-almost all* $U \in \mathrm{IC}(M)$*, the operator* $(\alpha \otimes \iota)(U)E_U^{-1}$ *is bounded and* $(\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot E_U^{-1}$ *is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on* K_U*.*

(2) *For all* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_R$ *one has the Parseval formula*

$$\langle \xi_R(\overline{\alpha^*}), \xi_R(\overline{\beta^*}) \rangle = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left((\beta \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot E_U^{-1} \right)^* \left((\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot E_U^{-1} \right) \right) d\mu_R(U),$$

and $\mathcal{Q}_R$ *is the isometric extension of*

$$\xi_R(\overline{\mathcal{I}_R^*}) \to \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} B_2(H_U) d\mu_R(U) : \ \xi_R(\overline{\alpha^*}) \mapsto \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} (\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) \cdot E_U^{-1} d\mu_R(U).$$

(3) *The measure* μ_R *can be choosen equal to the measure* μ *of Theorem 3.1 and the measurable field of Hilbert spaces* $(K_U)_U$ *can be choosen equal to* $(H_U)_U$*, the measurable field of Hilbert spaces of Theorem 3.1.*

Parts (1) and (2) of this theorem can be obtained from [2, Theorem 3.4.5] using the relations between the right Haar weight ψ and the right Haar weight $\hat{\psi}_u$ on the universal dual quantum group. The prove is similar to how Theorem 3.1 is obtained from [2, Theorem 3.4.5]. We elaborate a bit on the third statement. Since $\hat{\varphi}_u$ and $\hat{\psi}_u$ are both approximately KMS-weights on the universal dual $\hat{M}_u$, their W*-lifts are n.s.f. weights so that [18, Theorem VIII.3.2] implies that the representations π_φ and π_ψ are equivalent. Hence

$$\pi_{\hat{\varphi}_u}(\hat{M}_u)'' = \pi_{\hat{\varphi}}(\hat{M}) \simeq \pi_{\hat{\psi}}(\hat{M}) = \pi_{\hat{\psi}_u}(\hat{M}_u)''. \tag{3.1}$$

The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 show that the measures μ and ν together with the measurable fields of Hilbert spaces $(H_U)_U$ and $(K_U)_U$ in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 arise from the direct integral decompositions of $\pi_{\hat{\varphi}_u}(\hat{M}_u)''$ and $\pi_{\hat{\psi}_u}(\hat{M}_u)''$, respectively. That is:

$$\pi_{\hat{\varphi}_u}(\hat{M}_u)'' = \int_X^{\oplus} B(H_\sigma) d\mu(\sigma), \qquad \pi_{\hat{\psi}_u}(\hat{M}_u)'' = \int_Y^{\oplus} B(K_\sigma) d\mu_R(\sigma).$$

By (3.1) we may assume that $\mu = \mu_R$, $X = Y$ and $(H_U)_U = (K_U)_U$. Furthermore, by [2, Eqn. (3.4.2)], $\pi_{\hat{\varphi}}(y) = y = \pi_{\hat{\psi}}(y), \forall y \in \hat{M}$, which shows that the correspondence between X and the measurable subspace $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_u)$ is the same for $\pi_{\hat{\varphi}_u}$ and $\pi_{\hat{\psi}_u}$. This proves the third statement of Theorem 3.2.

$\mathcal{Q}_R$ is called the right Plancherel transform. In the rest of this paper we will assume that $\mu = \mu_R$ and we simply call μ the Plancherel measure. Similarly, we identify $(K_U)_U$ with $(H_U)_U$.

Remark 3.3. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 remain valid when the assumption that $\hat{M}_u$ is separable (universal norm) is replaced by the assumption that $\hat{M}_c$ is separable (reduced norm) and the measure space $\mathrm{IC}(M)$ is replaced by the measure space $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_c)$. The proof is a minor modification of the proof of [2, Theorem 3.4.1]. Here, $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_u)$ can be replaced by $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_c)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ should be read as the multiplicative unitary W, see [10] for the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$. The proof of this modification can be obtained by using the following relations instead of [2, p. 118-119]:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\sigma\left((\omega\otimes\iota)(W)\right) &= (\omega\otimes\iota)(U_\sigma) \text{ where } \sigma\in \mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_c) \text{ corresponds to } U_\sigma\in \mathrm{IC}(M),\\ \xi(\omega) &= \hat{\Lambda}\left((\omega\otimes\iota)(W)\right) = \hat{\Lambda}_{\hat{\varphi}_c}\left((\omega\otimes\iota)(W)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In [2, Theorem 3.4.8] Desmedt proves that the support of the left and right Plancherel measures equal $\mathrm{IR}(\hat{M}_c)$, which is in agreement with this observation.

Remark 3.4. The corepresentations that appear as discrete mass points in the Plancherel measure correspond to the square integrable correpresentations in the sense of [1, Definition 3.2] or the equivalent definition of left square integrable corepresentations as in [2, Definition 3.2.29]. A proof of this can be found in [2, Theorem 3.4.10].

Notation 3.5. In the rest of this section as well as in Section 4 we adopt the following notational conventions. (M,Δ) is a fixed locally compact quantum group satisfying the conditions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. We set $D = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} D_U d\mu(U)$, $E = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} E_U d\mu(U)$ and $H = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} H_U d\mu(U)$, where μ is the Plancherel measure. All (direct) integrals are taken over $\mathrm{IC}(M)$. In the proofs we omit this in the notation.

In the remainder of this Section, we express the Plancherel transformation in terms of matrix coefficients to arrive at Theorems 3.10 and 3.11. These theorems can be considered as direct implications of the Plancherel theorems. We will need them in Section 5.

Lemma 3.6. *We have the following:*

1. *Let $x\in M$, such that the linear map $f:\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}))\to\mathbb{C}:\xi(\alpha)\mapsto\alpha(x^*)$ is bounded. Then $x\in\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $f(\xi(\alpha)) = \langle\xi(\alpha),\Lambda(x)\rangle$.*
2. *Let $x\in M$, such that the linear map $f:\hat{\Gamma}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}_R))\to\mathbb{C}:\xi_R(\alpha)\mapsto\alpha(x^*)$ is bounded. Then $x\in\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ and $f(\xi_R(\alpha)) = \langle\xi_R(\alpha),\Gamma(x)\rangle$.*

Proof. We prove the first statement, the second being analogous. The claim is true for $x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$, since $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)=\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and by definition $\langle\xi(\alpha),\Lambda(x)\rangle=\alpha(x^*)$, for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{I}$. Now, let $x\in M$ be arbitrary. The set $\{\xi(\alpha)\mid\alpha\in\mathcal{I}\}$ is dense in H_φ by [12, Lemma 8.5] and its subsequent remark. Hence, by the Riesz theorem, there is a $v\in H_\varphi$ such that for every $\alpha\in\mathcal{I}$ $\alpha(x^*)=\langle\xi(\alpha),v\rangle$. Let $(e_j)_{j\in J}$ be a bounded net in the Tomita algebra

$$\mathcal{T}_\varphi = \left\{x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi\cap\mathcal{N}_\varphi^* \mid x \text{ is analytic w.r.t. } \sigma^\varphi \text{ and } \sigma_z^\varphi(x)\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi\cap\mathcal{N}_\varphi^*, \forall z\in\mathbb{C}\right\},$$

converging σ-weakly to 1 and such that $\sigma_{i/2}^\varphi(e_j)$ converges σ-weakly to 1 (using the residue formula for meromorphic functions, one can see that the net $(e_j)_{j\in J}$ defined in [19, Lemma 9] satisfies these properties). Let $a,b\in\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ and fix the normal functional α by $\alpha(x)=\varphi(axb)$, $x\in M$. Using [12, Lemma 8.5] we find

$$\langle\xi(\alpha),\Lambda(xe_j)\rangle = \varphi(ae_j^*x^*b) = \langle\Lambda(b\sigma_{-i}^\varphi(ae_j^*)),v\rangle = \langle\Lambda(b\sigma_{-i}^\varphi(a)),J\pi_\varphi(\sigma_{i/2}^\varphi(e_j)^*)Jv\rangle.$$

Hence, $\Lambda(xe_j) = J\pi_\varphi(\sigma_{i/2}^\varphi(e_j)^*)Jv$, so that $\Lambda(xe_j)$ converges weakly to v. Since $xe_j\to x$ σ-weakly and Λ is σ-weak/weakly closed, this implies that $x\in\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)=\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $v=\Lambda(x)$. ☐

Recall that $\int^{\oplus} B_2(H_U)d\mu(U) \simeq \int^{\oplus} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U)$. For $\eta = \int^{\oplus} \eta_U d\mu(U), \xi = \int^{\oplus} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ the mesurable field of vectors $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U})_U$ is not necessarily square integrable. If it is square integrable, $\int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U) \in \int^{\oplus} B_2(H_U)d\mu(U)$.

We obtain the following expression for the left Plancherel transformation.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $\eta = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ *and* $\xi = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ *be such that* $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(D^{-1})$ *and* $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U})_U$ *is square integrable. Then* $\mathrm{IC}(M) \ni U \mapsto (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, D_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U^*) \in M$ *is* σ*-weakly integrable with respect to* μ *and* $\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, D_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U^*)d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$*, and*

$$\mathcal{Q}_L^{-1}\Big(\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\Big) = \Lambda\left(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, D_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U^*)d\mu(U)\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$\langle \xi(\alpha), \mathcal{Q}_L^{-1}\Big(\int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\Big)\rangle = \int \langle (\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) D_U^{-1}, \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} \rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} d\mu(U)$$
$$= \int (\alpha \otimes \omega_{D_U^{-1}\eta_U, \xi_U})(U)d\mu(U) = \alpha\Big(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, D_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U^*)d\mu(U)^*\Big),$$

where the last integral exists in the σ-weak sense. We see by Lemma 3.6 that, $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, D_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U^*)d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{N}_\varphi$, and (3.3) follows. ☐

Remark 3.8. As in the proof of Lemma 3.7 we see that for $\xi = \int^{\oplus} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\eta = \int^{\oplus} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, the σ-weak integral $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, \eta_U})(U^*)d\mu(U) \in M$ exists, and for $\alpha \in M_*$, $|\int (\alpha \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, \eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)| \leq \|\alpha\|\|\xi\|\|\eta\|$.

The previous lemma shows that $\mathcal{Q}_L^{-1}$ is an analogue of what Desmedt calls the (left) Wigner map [2, Section 3.3.1]. This map is defined as

$$B_2(H_U) \to H : \xi \otimes \overline{\eta} \mapsto \Lambda\left((\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi, D_U^{-1}\eta})(U^*)\right), \tag{3.4}$$

where U is a corepresentation on a Hilbert space H_U that appears as a discrete mass point in the Plancherel measure, cf. the remarks about square integrable corepresentations at the end of Section 3. This map is also considered in [1, Page 203], where it is denoted by Φ.

The next Lemma is the right analogue of Lemma 3.7, the proof being similar.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $\eta = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ *and* $\xi = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ *be such that* $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E^{-1})$ *and* $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U})_U$ *is square integrable. Then* $\mathrm{IC}(M) \ni U \mapsto (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U) \in M$ *is* σ*-weakly integrable with respect to* μ*. Furthermore,* $\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ *and*

$$\mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}\Big(\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\Big) = \Gamma\left(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right).$$

The Plancherel theorems imply the following orthogonality relations. The theorem follows immediately from the expressions for the Plancherel transformations given in Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9. The orthogonality relations will be used in Section 5 where we give a method to determine the Duflo-Moore operators of a locally compact quantum group that satisfies the assumptions of the Plancherel theorem.

Theorem 3.10 (Orthogonality relations)**.** *Let (M, Δ) be a locally compact quantum group, such that $\hat{M}_u$ is separable and $\hat{M}$ is a type I von Neumann algebra. Let $\eta = \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\xi = \int^\oplus \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\eta' = \int^\oplus \eta'_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and $\xi' = \int^\oplus \xi'_U d\mu(U) \in H$. We have the following orthogonality relations:*

1. *Suppose that $\eta, \eta' \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ and that $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{D_U \eta_U})_U, (\xi'_U \otimes \overline{D_U \eta'_U})_U$ are square integrable fields of vectors, then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi\left(\left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)\right)^* \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi'_U,\eta'_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)\right) = \\ &\int \langle D_U \eta_U, D_U \eta'_U \rangle \langle \xi'_U, \xi_U \rangle d\mu(U).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

2. *Suppose that $\eta, \eta' \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ and that $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{E_U \eta_U})_U, (\xi'_U \otimes \overline{E_U \eta'_U})_U$ are square integrable fields of vectors, then:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi\left(\left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)\right)^* \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi'_U,\eta'_U})(U) d\mu(U)\right) = \\ &\int \langle E_U \eta_U, E_U \eta'_U \rangle \langle \xi'_U, \xi_U \rangle d\mu(U).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Here $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U), \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U), \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi'_U,\eta'_U})(U) d\mu(U)$, $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi'_U,\eta'_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)$ are defined in Lemma 3.7 and 3.9. The integrals are taken over $\mathrm{IC}(M)$.

As observed in Remark 3.8 the element $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U) \in M$ exists for $\eta = \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\xi = \int^\oplus \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and the next theorem investigates the consequences of $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\xi = \int^\oplus_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ be an essentially bounded field of vectors.*

1. *Let $\eta = \int^\oplus_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ be such that $\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. Then, for almost every U in the support of $(\xi_U)_U$, we have $\eta_U \in \mathcal{D}(D_U)$.*
2. *Let $\eta = \int^\oplus_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ be such that $\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$. Then, for almost every U in the support of $(\xi_U)_U$, we have $\eta_U \in \mathcal{D}(E_U)$.*

Proof. We only give a proof of the first statement. Consider the sesquilinear form

$$q(\eta, \eta') = \varphi\left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)^* \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta'_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)\right),$$

with

$$q(\eta) = q(\eta, \eta), \quad \mathcal{D}(q) = \left\{ \eta = \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \mid \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \right\}.$$

q is a closed form on H. Indeed, assume that $\eta_n \in \mathcal{D}(q)$ converges in norm to $\eta \in H$ and that $q(\eta_n - \eta_m) \to 0$. Then $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_{n,U}})(U^*) d\mu(U)$ converges to $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)$ σ-weakly. By assumption $\Lambda(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_{n,U}})(U^*) d\mu(U))$ is a Cauchy sequence in norm. The σ-weak-weak closedness of Λ implies that $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{N}_\varphi$, so $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(q)$ and $\Lambda(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_{n,U}})(U^*) d\mu(U))$ converges to $\Lambda(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*) d\mu(U))$ weakly. Since we know that $\Lambda(\int(\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_{n,U}})(U^*)d\mu(U))$ is a actually a Cauchy sequence in the norm topology it is norm convergent to $\Lambda(\int(\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U^*)d\mu(U))$. This proves that $q(\eta-\eta_n)\rightarrow 0$.

Since $(\xi_U)_U$ is a square integrable, essentially bounded field of vectors, $\int^{\oplus}\xi_U\otimes\overline{\eta_U}d\mu(U)\in B_2(H)$. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{D}(D)\subseteq\mathcal{D}(q)$, so that q is densely defined. q is symmetric and positive by its definition. By [8, Theorem VI.2.23], there is a unique positive, self-adjoint, possibly unbounded operator A on H such that $q(\eta,\eta')=\langle A\eta,A\eta'\rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}(A)=\mathcal{D}(q)$. By Theorem 3.10 we see that for $\eta,\eta'\in\mathcal{D}(D)$ we have $\int\langle D_U\eta_U,D_U\eta'_U\rangle\|\xi_U\|^2d\mu(U)=\langle A\eta,A\eta'\rangle$. Since both A and $\int^{\oplus}\|\xi_U\|d\mu(U)$ are positive, self-adjoint operators this yields $A=\int^{\oplus}\|\xi_U\|D_Ud\mu(U)$. In particular $\eta_U\in\mathcal{D}(D_U)$ for almost every $U\in\operatorname{supp}((\xi_U)_U)=\overline{\{U\in\mathrm{IC}(M)\mid\|\xi_U\|\neq 0\}}$. ☐

4. Modular properties of matrix coefficients

In this section we work towards expressions for the modular automorphism group of the left and right Haar weight in terms of matrix elements of corepresentations, culminating in Theorem 4.8. The matrix coefficients of corepresentations are preserved under the modular automorphism group. The idea of proving this formula is to describe the polar decomposition of the conjugation operator $\Gamma(x)\mapsto\Lambda(x^*), x\in\mathcal{N}_\psi\cap\mathcal{N}_\varphi^*$ explicitly in terms of corepresentations. Then, for a unimodular quantum group, where $\Gamma=\Lambda$, the modular automorphism group is implemented by the absolute value of this operator.

Recall that in this section we use the notational conventions of Notation 3.5.

At this point we recall the relevant results from the theory of normal, semi-finite, faithful (n.s.f.) weights and their modular automorphism groups. This is contained in [18, Chapters VI, VII, VIII]. We emphasize that the notation sometimes differs from [18].

Consider the following two operators [18, Section VIII.3]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\psi,0}: H_\psi\rightarrow H_\psi:\Gamma(x)\mapsto\Gamma(x^*),&\quad x\in\mathcal{N}_\psi\cap\mathcal{N}_\psi^*,\\ S_0: H_\psi\rightarrow H_\varphi:\Gamma(x)\mapsto\Lambda(x^*),&\quad x\in\mathcal{N}_\psi\cap\mathcal{N}_\varphi^*.\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Both operators are densely defined and preclosed. We denote their closures by S_ψ and S, respectively. S_ψ and S correspond to S_ψ and $S_{\varphi,\psi}$ in [18, Section VIII.3, (13)]. We denote their polar decompositions by $S_\psi=J_\psi\nabla_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}, S=J\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By construction, J_ψ and ∇_ψ are the modular conjugation and the modular operator appearing in the Tomita-Takesaki theorem. In particular, ∇_ψ implements the modular automorphism group σ_t^ψ, i.e.

$$\sigma_t^\psi(\pi_\psi(x))=\nabla_\psi^{it}\pi_\psi(x)\nabla_\psi^{-it},\qquad x\in M,\ t\in\mathbb{R}.\tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, $\nabla_\psi^{it}, t\in\mathbb{R}$, is a homomorphism of the left Hilbert algebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\psi\cap\mathcal{N}_\psi^*)$, i.e.

$$\nabla_\psi^{it}\pi_\psi(x)\nabla_\psi^{-it}\Gamma(y)=\pi_l(\nabla_\psi^{it}\Gamma(x))\Gamma(y),\tag{4.3}$$

where $\pi_l(a)b=ab$ for $a,b\in\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\psi\cap\mathcal{N}_\psi^*)$. By [18, Section VIII.3, (11) and (29)] the modular automorphism group σ_t^φ is implemented by ∇, i.e.

$$\sigma_t^\varphi(x)=\nabla^{it}x\nabla^{-it},\qquad x\in M,\ t\in\mathbb{R}.\tag{4.4}$$

We emphasize that in general $\nabla^{it}, t\in\mathbb{R}$, fails to be a Hilbert algebra homomorphism of the left Hilbert algebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\psi\cap\mathcal{N}_\psi^*)$. In case (M,Δ) is unimodular, we find that $\nabla=\nabla_\psi$ and

$\nabla^{it}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfies the relation (4.3). This fact will eventually lead to Theorem 4.8. However, we present the theory more general and do not suppose that (M, Δ) is unimodular until this theorem.

It turns out that the polar decomposition of S can be expressed in terms of corepresentations by means of the Plancherel theorems. The polar decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}_L \circ S \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}$ and the morphisms $\mathcal{Q}_L$ and $\mathcal{Q}_R$ give the polar decomposition of S. Eventually this yields Theorems 4.6 and 4.7.

Remark 4.1. For $\xi = \int^{\oplus} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and $\eta = \int^{\oplus} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, and $A = \int^{\oplus} A_U d\mu(U)$, $B = \int^{\oplus} B_U d\mu(U)$ decomposable operators on H, we will use $(\xi, \overline{\eta}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(A, \overline{B})$ to mean $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(A), \overline{\eta} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{B})$, $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U})_U$ is square integrable and $\int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{D}(\int^{\oplus}(A_U \otimes \overline{B_U}) d\mu(U))$. For closed opeators A and B the set of $\int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)$ with $(\xi_U, \overline{\eta_U}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(A, \overline{B})$ is a core for $\int^{\oplus}(A_U \otimes \overline{B_U}) d\mu(U)$ by Lemma A.1. In particular this set is dense in $\int^{\oplus} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U)$.

Let Σ be the anti-linear flip $\Sigma : \int^{\oplus} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U) \to \int^{\oplus} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U) : \int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U) \mapsto \int^{\oplus} \eta_U \otimes \overline{\xi_U} d\mu(U)$. Σ is an anti-linear isometry of $\int^{\oplus} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U)$.

Lemma 4.2. *For* $\eta = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \eta_U d\mu(U), \xi = \int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$, *with* $(\eta, \overline{\xi}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(E^{-1}, \overline{D}))$, *we have* $\mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}\left(\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\right) \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ *and:*

$$\mathcal{Q}_L \circ S \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}\left(\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\right) = \left(\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} E_U^{-1}\eta_U \otimes \overline{D_U \xi_U} d\mu(U)\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.9:

$$\mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}\left(\int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\right) = \Gamma\left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)\right). \tag{4.6}$$

By Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9 we obtain

$$\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) = \left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{E_U^{-1}\eta_U, \xi_U})(U^*) d\mu(U)\right)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\psi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*.$$

Hence, by (4.1), (4.6) and Lemma 3.7

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_L \circ S \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}\left(\int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U)\right) &= \mathcal{Q}_L\left(\Lambda\left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)^*\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\int^{\oplus} E_U^{-1}\eta_U \otimes \overline{D_U \xi_U} d\mu(U)\right),\end{aligned}$$

from which the lemma follows. □

We are now able to give the polar decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}_L \circ S \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Consider* $S_{\mathcal{Q}} := \mathcal{Q}_L \circ S \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1}$ *as an operator on* $\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U)$. *Then the polar decomposition of* $S_{\mathcal{Q}}$ *is given by the self-adjoint, strictly positive operator* $\int^{\oplus}_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U)$ *and the anti-linear isometry* Σ.

Proof. Throughout this proof, let $\eta = \int^{\oplus} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\xi = \int^{\oplus} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\eta' = \int^{\oplus} \eta'_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and $\xi' = \int^{\oplus} \xi'_U d\mu(U) \in H$ be such that $(\eta_U \otimes \overline{\xi_U})_U$ and $(\eta'_U \otimes \overline{\xi'_U})_U$ are square integrable.

Assume $(\eta, \overline{\xi}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D, \overline{E^{-1}})$, $(\xi', \overline{\eta'}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D, \overline{E^{-1}})$, so that by (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \int^{\oplus} (\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U}) d\mu(U), S_{\mathcal{Q}} \int^{\oplus} (\xi'_U \otimes \overline{\eta'_U}) d\mu(U) \rangle = \\
&\langle \int^{\oplus} (\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U}) d\mu(U), \int^{\oplus} (E_U^{-1} \eta'_U \otimes \overline{D_U \xi'_U}) d\mu(U) \rangle = \\
&\int \langle \xi_U, E_U^{-1} \eta'_U \rangle \langle D_U \xi'_U, \eta_U \rangle d\mu(U) = \\
&\int \langle E_U^{-1} \xi_U, \eta'_U \rangle \langle \xi'_U, D_U \eta_U \rangle d\mu(U) = \\
&\langle \int^{\oplus} (\xi'_U \otimes \overline{\eta'_U}) d\mu(U), \int^{\oplus} (D_U \eta_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1} \xi_U}) d\mu(U) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So $S_{\mathcal{Q}}^* \left(\int^{\oplus} (\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U}) d\mu(U) \right) = \int^{\oplus} (D_U \eta_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1} \xi_U}) d\mu(U)$.

Assuming $(\xi, \overline{\eta}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D^2, \overline{E^{-2}})$, it follows

$$S_{\mathcal{Q}}^* S_{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\int^{\oplus} (\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U}) d\mu(U) \right) = \int^{\oplus} (D_U^2 \xi_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-2} \eta_U}) d\mu(U).$$

$\int^{\oplus} D_U^2 \otimes \overline{E_U^{-2}} d\mu(U)$ is a positive, self-adjoint operator for which the set

$$C := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \int^{\oplus} (\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U}) d\mu(U) \mid (\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D^2, E^{-2}) \right\},$$

forms a core by Lemma A.1. Since $S_{\mathcal{Q}}^* S_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is self-adjoint and agrees with the self-adjoint operator $\int^{\oplus} D_U^2 \otimes \overline{E_U^{-2}} d\mu(U)$ on C we find $S_{\mathcal{Q}}^* S_{\mathcal{Q}} = \int^{\oplus} D_U^2 \otimes \overline{E_U^{-2}} d\mu(U)$.

Assuming that $(\xi, \overline{\eta}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D, \overline{E^{-1}})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma \circ \left(\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U) \right) \left(\int^{\oplus} (\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U}) d\mu(U) \right) = \\
&\Sigma \left(\int^{\oplus} (D_U \xi_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1} \eta_U}) d\mu(U) \right) = \int^{\oplus} (E_U^{-1} \eta_U \otimes \overline{D_U \xi_U}) d\mu(U),
\end{aligned}$$

so that $S_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\Sigma \circ \left(\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U) \right)$ agree on a core, cf. Remark 4.1. □

Finally we translate everything back to the level of the GNS-representations H_φ and H_ψ.

Proposition 4.4. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} = &\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U, \eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) \mid \text{where} \\
&\qquad \eta \in \mathcal{D}(E) \cap \mathcal{D}(E^{-1}), (\xi, E\eta) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D, E^{-1}) \},
\end{aligned}$$

and define $\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}} : \Gamma(D_{\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \to H_\psi$ *by*

$$\Gamma(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)) \mapsto \Gamma(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U\xi_U,E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)).$$

Then $\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *is a densely defined, preclosed operator and its closure* $\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}$*, is a self-adjoint, strictly positive operator satisfying* $\mathcal{Q}_R \circ \nabla^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1} = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U)$.

Proof. Let $C := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \int^{\oplus} \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U) \mid (\xi,\eta) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D_U^2, E_U^{-2}) \right\}$. Then C is a core for $\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U)$. Indeed, C is a core for $\int^{\oplus} D_U^2 \otimes \overline{E_U^{-2}} d\mu(U)$ by Lemma A.1, and hence this is a core for $\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U)$.

Now, let $\eta = \int^{\oplus} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and $\xi = \int^{\oplus} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ be such that

$$\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E) \cap \mathcal{D}(E^{-1}), \qquad (\xi, E\eta) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D, E^{-1}).$$

So $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ and $(\xi_U \otimes E_U\eta_U)_U$ is square integrable, so that $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ by Lemma 3.9. Similarly, since $E^{-1}\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ and $(D_U\xi_U \otimes \eta_U)_U$ is square integrable, $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U\xi_U,E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)) \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$. Furthermore, we have the following inclusions:

$$C \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_R(\Gamma(D_{\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}})) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U))$$

and for $x \in \Gamma(D_{\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}})$ we have,

$$\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1} \left(\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U) \right) \mathcal{Q}_R(x).$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_R$ is an isometric isomorphism, the claims follow from the fact that $\int^{\oplus} D_U \otimes \overline{E_U^{-1}} d\mu(U)$ is a self-adjoint, strictly positive operator for which C is a core. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *Let* D_{J_0} *be the linear space*

$$\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{ \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U) \mid \text{where}$$
$$\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D^{-1}), \eta \in \mathcal{D}(E), (\xi_U \otimes \overline{E_U\eta_U})_U \text{ is square integrable}\},$$

and define $J_0 : \Gamma(D_{J_0}) \to H_\varphi$:

$$\Gamma(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)) \mapsto \Lambda(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U^{-1}\xi_U,E_U\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)^*).$$

Then J_0 *is a densely defined anti-linear isometry, and its closure, denoted by* J*, is a surjective anti-linear isometry satisfying* $\mathcal{Q}_L \circ J \circ \mathcal{Q}_R^{-1} = \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $C := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \int \xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U} d\mu(U) \mid (\xi,\eta) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D^{-1}, \overline{E}) \right\}$. C is dense in $\int^{\oplus} H_U \otimes \overline{H_U} d\mu(U)$, c.f. Remark 4.1.

For $\eta = \int^{\oplus} \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and $\xi = \int^{\oplus} \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ so that $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D^{-1})$, $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ and $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{E_U\eta_U})_U$ is square integrable, we find $\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ and $\int (\iota \otimes$

$\omega_{D_U^{-1}\xi_U,E_U\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ by Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9. So $C \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_R(\Gamma(D_{J_0}))$, and for $x \in \Gamma(D_{J_0})$, $J_0(x) = \mathcal{Q}_L^{-1} \circ \Sigma \circ \mathcal{Q}_R(x)$. Then, since $\mathcal{Q}_L$ and $\mathcal{Q}_R$ are isomorphisms, the claim follows from Σ being a surjective anti-linear isometry. □

Note that the previous proposition is an analogy of the classical situation. Suppose that G is a locally compact group for which the classical Plancherel theorem [5, Theorem 18.8.1] holds. The anti-linear operator $f \mapsto f^*$ acting on $L^2(G)$ is transformed into the anti-linear flip acting on $\int^\oplus K(\zeta) \otimes \overline{K}(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta)$ by the Plancherel transform. Here $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}\delta_G(x^{-1})$ and δ_G is the modular function on G.

From Theorem 4.3 and Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *The polar decomposition of S is given by $S = J\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

The roles of φ and ψ can be interchanged. Consider the operator:

$$S_0' : H_\varphi \to H_\psi : \Lambda(x) \mapsto \Gamma(x^*), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\psi^*. \tag{4.7}$$

This operator is densely defined and preclosed. We denote its closure by S'. The polar decomposition of S' can be expressed in terms of corepresentations in a similar way.

Theorem 4.7. *Consider $S' : H_\varphi \to H_\psi$. Let D'_{J_0} be the linear space*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)}^{\oplus} &(\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)^* d\mu(U) \mid \text{where} \\ &\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D), \eta \in \mathcal{D}(E^{-1}), (D_U\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U})_U \text{ is sq. int.}\},\end{aligned}$$

and define $J_0' : \Lambda(D'_{J_0}) \to H_\psi$:

$$\Lambda(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)^* d\mu(U)) \mapsto \Gamma(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U\xi_U,E_U^{-1}\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)).$$

Then J_0' is densely defined and isometric, and its closure, denoted by J', is a surjective anti-linear isometry. Let

$$\begin{aligned}D'_{\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \mathit{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} &(\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) \mid \text{where} \\ &\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D) \cap \mathcal{D}(D^{-1}), (D\xi, \overline{\eta}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\otimes}(D^{-1}, \overline{E})\},\end{aligned}$$

and define $\nabla_0'^{\frac{1}{2}} : \Lambda(D_{\nabla_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \to H_\psi$:

$$\Lambda(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)^*) \mapsto \Lambda(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U^{-1}\xi_U,E_U\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)^*).$$

Then $\nabla_0'^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a densely defined, preclosed operator and its closure, denoted by $\nabla'^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is a self-adjoint, strictly positive operator.

Moreover, the polar decomposition of S' is given by $S' = J'\nabla'^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We now assume that (M,Δ) is unimodular, so that $S = S' = S_\psi$ and Theorem 4.6 give an explicit expression for the modular operator and modular conjugation. This leads to the following expression for the modular automorphism group. In this case we write σ_t for $\sigma_t^\varphi = \sigma_t^\psi$.

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that (M,Δ) is unimodular. Let $(\xi_U)_U, (\eta_U)_U$ be square integrable vector fields. The modular automorphism group σ_t of the Haar weight ψ can be expressed as:*

$$\sigma_t\left(\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right) = \int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota\otimes\omega_{D_U^{2it}\xi_U,E_U^{2it}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. For $\eta = \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\xi = \int^\oplus \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$, such that $(\xi_U \otimes \overline{\eta_U})_U$ is a square integrable field of vectors and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E)$, we find

$$\nabla^{it}\Gamma\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right) = \Gamma\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{D_U^{2it}\xi_U,E_U^{2it}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right). \tag{4.9}$$

Indeed, $\left(\int^\oplus (D_U\otimes\overline{E_U^{-1}})d\mu(U)\right)^{2it}(\xi\otimes\overline{\eta}) = \int^\oplus (D_U^{2it}\xi_U\otimes\overline{E_U^{2it}\eta_U})d\mu(U)$ by [15, Theorem 1.10], so (4.9) follows from Lemma 3.9 and Proposition 4.4. Since $\sigma_t(\pi_\psi(x)) = \nabla^{it}\pi_\psi(x)\nabla^{-it}, x \in M$, (4.3) implies

$$\sigma_t\left(\pi_\psi\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right)\right) = \pi_\psi\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{D_U^{2it}\xi_U,E_U^{2it}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right), \tag{4.10}$$

so the theorem follows from the identification of M with $\pi_\psi(M)$, in this case.

Now let $\eta = \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$ and $\xi = \int^\oplus \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$ be arbitrary. We take sequences of square integrable vector fields $\xi_n = \int^\oplus \xi_{U,n} d\mu(U)$, $\eta_n = \int^\oplus \eta_{U,n} d\mu(U)$ such that $(\xi_{U,n}\otimes\overline{\eta_{U,n}})_U$ is a square integrable field of vectors, $\eta_n \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ and such that ξ_n converges to ξ and η_n converges to η. Then $\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_{U,n},\eta_{U,n}})(U)d\mu(U)$ is σ-weakly convergent to $\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)$ and hence

$$\sigma_t\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_t\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_{U,n},\eta_{U,n}})(U)d\mu(U)\right) =$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\int (\iota\otimes\omega_{D_U^{2it}\xi_{U,n},E_U^{2it}\eta_{U,n}})(U)d\mu(U)\right) = \int (\iota\otimes\omega_{D_U^{2it}\xi_U,E_U^{2it}\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U),$$

which yields (4.8). □

We used (4.3) to obtain (4.10). The unimodularity assumption is essential for Theorem 4.8.

Corollary 4.9. *Let (M,Δ) be unimodular. Let $\eta = \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $\xi = \int^\oplus \xi_U d\mu(U) \in H$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(E^{2r})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(D^{2r})$, then:*

$$\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U) \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_z),$$

for all z in the strip $S(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq Im(z) \leq r, \text{ or } r \leq Im(z) \leq 0\}$. In particular, if η is analytic for E and if ξ is analytic for D, then $\int_{\mathrm{IC}(M)} (\iota\otimes\omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U)d\mu(U)$ is analytic for the one-parameter group σ_t.

Proof. For $\alpha \in M_*$, define

$$F_\alpha(z) = \alpha \left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U^{2iz}\xi_U, E_U^{2i\overline{z}}\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) \right) =$$
$$\langle \int^\oplus (\alpha \otimes \iota)(U) d\mu(U) (\int^\oplus D_U d\mu(U))^{2iz} \int^\oplus \xi_U d\mu(U), (\int^\oplus E_U d\mu(U))^{2i\overline{z}} \int^\oplus \eta_U d\mu(U) \rangle.$$

Here the last equality follows from [15, Theorem 1.10]. By [18, Lemma VI.2.3], $F_\alpha(z)$ is an analytic continuation of $\alpha \left(\sigma_t^\varphi \left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) \right) \right)$ to the strip $S(r)$ such that $F_\alpha(z)$ is bounded by a constant $C\|\alpha\|$ where C is independent of α. Moreover, $F_\alpha(z)$ is continuous on $S(r)$ and analytic on the interior $S(r)^\circ$. Therefore $F(z) = \int (\iota \otimes \omega_{D_U^{2iz}\xi_U, E_U^{2i\overline{z}}\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U)$ is a continuation of $\sigma_t \left(\int (\iota \otimes \omega_{\xi_U,\eta_U})(U) d\mu(U) \right)$ to the strip $S(r)$ such that $F(z)$ is bounded and σ-weakly continuous on $S(r)$ and analytic on the interior $S(r)^\circ$ [14, Result 1.2].

□

5. Example

Using the theory of square integrable correpresentions, Desmedt [2] determined the operators D_U and E_U for the correpresentions that appear as discrete mass points of the Plancherel measure, see also Remark 3.4. In particular, his theory applies to compact quantum groups, for which every corepresentation is square integrable. As a non-compact example, Desmedt was able to determine the operators D_U for the discrete series corepresentations of the quantum group analogue of the normalizer of $SU(1,1)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$, which we denote by (M,Δ) from now on, see [9] and [6]. Having the theory of Sections 3 and 4 at hand we determine the operators D_U and E_U for the principal series corepresentations of (M,Δ).

We refer to [9] and [6] for the relevant properties of (M,Δ) and use the same notational conventions. In [6, Theorem 5.7] a decomposition of the multiplicative unitary in terms of irreducible corepresentations is given:

$$W = \bigoplus_{p \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \left(\int_{[-1,1]}^\oplus W_{p,x} dx \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in \sigma_d(\Omega_p)} W_{p,x} \right). \tag{5.1}$$

Here $\sigma_d(\Omega_p)$ is the discrete spectrum of the Casimir operator [6, Definition 4.5, Theorem 4.6] restricted to the subspace given in [6, Theorem 5.7]. $W_{p,x}$ is a correpresention that is a direct sum of at most 4 irreducible corepresentations [6, Propositions 5.3 and 5.4]. An orthonormal basis for the corepresentation Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_{p,x}$ of $W_{p,x}$ is given the vectors $e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}(p,x), \varepsilon, \eta \in \{-,+\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The corepresentations $W_{p,x}, p \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}, x \in \sigma(\Omega_p)$ are called the discrete series corepresentations and the corepresentations $W_{p,x}, p \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}, x \in [-1,1]$ are called the principal series corepresentations. We denote $D_{p,x}$ and $E_{p,x}$ for $D_{W_{p,x}}$ and $E_{W_{p,x}}$. The operators $D_{p,x}$ have been computed by Desmedt [2] for the discrete series. Hence we focus on the principal series. In Appendix B we verify that (M,Δ) satisfies the conditions of the Plancherel theorem, so that the theory of Sections 3 and 4 applies. Furthermore, (M,Δ) is unimodular [9]. We denote the modular automorphism group of the Haar weight by σ_t.

By [6, Lemmas 10.9] the action of the matrix elements in the GNS-space can be calculated explicitly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\iota \otimes \omega_{e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, f_{m_0,p_0,t_0} = \\
&C(\eta\varepsilon x; m', \varepsilon', \eta'; \varepsilon\varepsilon'|p_0|p^{-1}q^{-m-m'}, p_0, m-m')\delta_{sgn(p_0),\eta\eta'} f_{m_0-m+m', \varepsilon\varepsilon'|p_0|p^{-1}q^{-m-m'}, t_0}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $p \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\varepsilon, \eta, m, \varepsilon', \eta', m'$ be μ-measurable functions of $x \in [-1,1]$, thus $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x), \eta = \eta(x), \ldots$. Let f, f' be μ-square integrable complex functions on $[-1,1]$. Then $f(x)e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta} = f(x)e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}(p,x)$ and $f'(x)e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'} = f'(x)e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}(p,x)$ are μ-square integrable fields of vectors. Since the modular automorphism group σ_t is implemented by $\gamma^*\gamma$ [9, Section 4], Theorem 4.8 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{[-1,1]} \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{f(x)D_{p,x}^{2it} e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, f'(x)E_{p,x}^{2it} e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x)\right) f_{m_0,p_0,t_0} \\
=&\sigma_t \left(\int_{[-1,1]} \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{f(x)e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, f'(x)e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x)\right) f_{m_0,p_0,t_0} \\
=&|\gamma|^{2it} \left(\int_{[-1,1]} \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{f(x)e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, f'(x)e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x)\right) |\gamma|^{-2it} f_{m_0,p_0,t_0} \\
=&\left(\frac{p_0^2}{p_0^2 p^{-2} q^{-2m-2m'}}\right)^{it} \int_{[-1,1]} f(x)\overline{f'(x)} C(\eta\varepsilon x; m', \varepsilon', \eta'; \varepsilon\varepsilon'|p_0|p^{-1}q^{-m-m'}, p_0, m-m') \\
&\qquad \times \delta_{sgn(p_0),\eta\eta'} f_{m_0-m+m', \varepsilon\varepsilon'|p_0|p^{-1}q^{-m-m'}, t_0} d\mu(x) \\
=&(p^2q^{2m+2m'})^{it} \int_{[-1,1]} \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{f(x)e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, f'(x)e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x) f_{m_0,p_0,t_0}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.2}
$$

Define A and B as the unbounded self-adjoint operators on $\int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)$ determined by $A = \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} A_{p,x} d\mu(x)$, $A_{p,x}e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}(p,x) = p^2q^{2m}e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}(p,x)$. $B = \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} B_{p,x} d\mu(x)$, $B_{p,x}e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}(p,x) = q^{-2m}e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}(p,x)$. So (5.2) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{f(x)D_{p,x}^{2it} e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, f'(x)E_{p,x}^{2it} e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x) \\
=&\int \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{f(x)A_{p,x}^{it} e_m^{\varepsilon,\eta}, f'(x)B_{p,x}^{it} e_{m'}^{\varepsilon',\eta'}}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

where the integrals are taken over $[-1,1]$. For any two bounded operators $F = \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} F_{p,x} d\mu(x)$, $G = \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} G_{p,x} d\mu(x)$ on $\int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)$, the map $\left(\int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)\right) \otimes \overline{\left(\int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)\right)} \to M$ given by

$$
v \otimes \overline{w} = \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} v_x d\mu(x) \otimes \overline{\int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} w_x d\mu(x)} \mapsto \int_{[-1,1]} \left(\iota \otimes \omega_{F_{p,x}v_x, G_{p,x}w_x}\right)(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x)
$$

is norm-σ-weakly continuous since

$$
\left| \int_{[-1,1]} \alpha \otimes \omega_{v_x,w_x}(W_{p,x}) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \|\alpha\| \|F\| \|G\| \|v\| \|w\|, \alpha \in M_*.
$$

Therefore, for $v = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} v_x d\mu(x), w = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} w_x d\mu(x) \in \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)$, using [4, II.1.6, Proposition 7] and (5.3),

$$\int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D^{2it}_{p,x} v_x, E^{2it}_{p,x} w_x})\,(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x) = \int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{A^{it}_{p,x} v_x, B^{it}_{p,x} w_x})\,(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x). \tag{5.4}$$

For $v = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} v_x d\mu(x), w = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} w_x d\mu(x) \in \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)$, with $(v_x)_x$ essentially bounded, $w \in \mathcal{D}\left(\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} E_{p,x} d\mu(x)\right)$, Theorem 3.10 implies that $\int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D^{2it}_{p,x} v_x, E^{2it}_{p,x} w_x})\,(W_{p,x})\, d\mu(x) \in \mathcal{N}_{\psi}$. By (5.4) and Theorem 3.11, $B^{it}_{p,x} w_x \in \mathcal{D}(E_{p,x})$ almost everywhere in the support of $(v_x)_x$. Theorem 3.10 implies that for $v' = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} v'_x d\mu(x), w' = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} w'_x d\mu(x) \in \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)$ with the extra assumptions $w' \in \mathcal{D}\left(\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} E^2_{p,x} d\mu(x)\right)$ and $(v'_x \otimes E_{p,x} w'_x)_x$ is square integrable,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[-1,1]} \langle B^{it}_{p,x} w_x, E^2_{p,x} w'_x \rangle \langle v'_x, A^{it}_{p,x} v_x \rangle d\mu(x) \\
=&\psi\left(\left(\int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{A^{it}_{p,x} v_x, B^{it}_{p,x} w_x})(W_{p,x}) d\mu(x)\right)^* \int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{v'_x, w'_x})(W_{p,x}) d\mu(x)\right) \\
=&\psi\left(\left(\int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{D^{2it}_{p,x} v_x, E^{2it}_{p,x} w_x})(W_{p,x}) d\mu(x)\right)^* \int_{[-1,1]} (\iota \otimes \omega_{v'_x, w'_x})(W_{p,x}) d\mu(x)\right) \\
=&\int_{[-1,1]} \langle E^{2it}_{p,x} w_x, E^2_{p,x} w'_x \rangle \langle v'_x, D^{2it}_{p,x} v_x \rangle d\mu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

$E_{p,x}$ is strictly positive by the Plancherel theorem. The elements $\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} v'_x \otimes \overline{E^2_{p,x} w'_x} d\mu(x)$ are dense in $\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x) \otimes \overline{\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} d\mu(x)}$, so $\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} D^{2it}_{p,x} \otimes \overline{E^{2it}_{p,x}} d\mu(x) = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} A^{it}_{p,x} \otimes \overline{B^{it}_{p,x}} d\mu(x)$. By Stone's theorem and [15, Theorem 1.10] $\int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} D_{p,x} \otimes \overline{E_{p,x}} d\mu(x) = \int^{\oplus}_{[-1,1]} A^{\frac{1}{2}}_{p,x} \otimes \overline{B_{p,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(x)$. Hence we see that there is a positive function $c(p,x)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{p,x} e^{\varepsilon,\eta}_m &= p q^m c(p,x) e^{\varepsilon,\eta}_m, \\
E_{p,x} e^{\varepsilon,\eta}_m &= q^{-m} c(p,x) e^{\varepsilon,\eta}_m.
\end{aligned}$$

The function $c(p,x)$ depends on the choice of the Plancherel measure μ, see [2, Theorem 3.4.1, part 6].

Remark 5.1. Desmedt [2, §3.5] obtains a similar result using summation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, a method different from the one presented here. Note that the present method also applies to discrete series corepresentations and avoids caclulations involving special functions.

Appendix A. Appendix

For the theory of direct integrals of bounded operators we refer to [4]. For the theory of direct integrals of unbounded closed operators we refer to [15], [16] and [20, Chapter 12].

Lemma A.1. *Let (X,μ) be a standard measure space. Let $(H_p)_p$ and $(K_p)_p$ be measurable fields of Hilbert spaces. Let $(A_p)_p$ and $(B_p)_p$ be measurable fields of closed operators on*

$(H_p)_p$ and $(K_p)_p$ respectively. Let $(e_p^n)_p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a fundamental sequence for $(A_p)_p$ and let $(f_p^n)_p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a fundamental sequence for $(B_p)_p$. Set $A = \int_X^\oplus A_p d\mu(p)$, $B = \int_X^\oplus B_p d\mu(p)$, $H = \int_X^\oplus H_p d\mu(p)$ and $K = \int_X^\oplus K_p d\mu(p)$.

(a) *$(A_p \otimes B_p)_p$ is a measurable field of closed operators.*

(b) *The countable set*
$$R = \left\{ (e_p^n \otimes f_p^m)_p \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$
is a fundamental sequence for $(A_p \otimes B_p)_p$.

(c) *The set*
$$T = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \int_X^\oplus \xi_p \otimes \eta_p d\mu(p) \, \middle| \begin{array}{c} \xi = \int_X^\oplus \xi_p d\mu(p) \in \mathcal{D}(A), \\ \eta = \int_X^\oplus \eta_p d\mu(p) \in \mathcal{D}(B), \\ \int_X^\oplus (\xi_p \otimes \eta_p) d\mu(p) \in \mathcal{D}(\int^\oplus (A_p \otimes B_p) d\mu(p)) \end{array} \right\},$$
is a core for $\int_X^\oplus (A_p \otimes B_p) d\mu(p)$.

Proof. We first prove (a) and (b). By [4, II.1.8, Proposition 10], for $(\xi_p)_p$, $(\eta_p)_p$ measurable fields of vectors, there is a unique measurable structure so that $(\xi_p \otimes \eta_p)_p$ is a measurable field of vectors. We check (1) - (3) of [15, Remark 1.5, (1) - (3)].

(1) $(e_p^n \otimes f_p^m)_p$ is a μ-measurable field of vectors and $e_p^n \otimes f_p^m \in \mathcal{D}(A_p \otimes B_p)$ for all p. The function
$$p \mapsto \langle (A_p \otimes B_p)(e_p^n \otimes f_p^m)_p, (e_p^{n'} \otimes f_p^{m'})_p \rangle = \langle A_p e_p^n, e_p^{n'} \rangle \langle B_p f_p^m, f_p^{m'} \rangle,$$
is μ-measurable, so (2) follows. For (3) fix a $p \in X$. By definition $\{e_p^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a core for A_p and $\{f_p^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a core for B_p. Then it follows from [7, Lemma 11.2.29] that $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ e_p^n \otimes f_p^m \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is a core for $A_p \otimes B_p$, so that R is total in $\mathcal{D}(A_p \otimes B_p)$ with respect to the graph norm. In all, we have proved (a) and (b).

Using [4, II.1.3, Remarque 1], we may assume that $(e_p^n)_p$ (resp. $(f_p^n)_p$) satisfies $p \mapsto \|(e_p^n)_p\|$ (resp. $p \mapsto \|(f_p^n)_p\|$) is bounded and vanishes outside a set of finite measure. Let
$$\lambda_p^{n,m} = \left(\max(1, \|(A_p \otimes B_p)(e_p^n \otimes f_p^m)\|, \|A_p e_p^n\|, \|, \|B_p f_p^m\|) \right)^{-1},$$
so $\lambda_p^{n,m}$ is measurable and $0 < \lambda_p^{n,m} \leq 1$. Using the assumption $\lambda_p^{n,m}(e_p^n \otimes f_p^m) \in T$. Moreover, $p \mapsto \|\lambda_p^{n,m}(e_p^n \otimes f_p^m)\|^2_{\mathrm{Graph}(A_p \otimes B_p)}$ is bounded. Let $S = \{(\lambda_p^{n,m}(e_p^n \otimes f_p^m))_p \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq T$. Now define
$$M = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{C}} m_f S,$$
where $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of bounded measurable scalar-valued functions vanishing outside a set of finite measure and m_f is multiplication by f. Then $M \subseteq T \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\int_X^\oplus (A_p \otimes B_p) d\mu(p))$ and by [4, II.1.6, Proposition 7], M is total in $\mathcal{D}(\int_X^\oplus (A_p \otimes B_p) d\mu(p))$ equipped with the graph norm. Hence T is a core for $\int_X^\oplus (A_p \otimes B_p) d\mu(p)$. ☐

Appendix B. Appendix

(M, Δ) denotes the quantum group analogue of the normalizer of $SU(1,1)$ in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. We use the same notation as in [9] and [6]. The Casimir operator Ω is defined in [6, Definition 4.5]. $\sigma(\Omega)$ and $\sigma_d(\Omega)$ denote the spectrum and the discrete spectrum of Ω respectively.

Proposition B.1. *Let $x \in [-1,1]$ and $x' \in \sigma_d(\Omega)$, so in particular $x \neq x'$. Then the irreducible summands of $W_{p,x}$ are all inequivalent from $W_{p,x'}$.*

Proof. This follows from [6], since the eigenvalues of Ω when restricted to $W'_{p,x}$ are contained in $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-1,1]$, whereas for $W_{p,x}$ the eigenvalues of Ω are in $[-1,1]$.

□

The next propositions show that (M,Δ) satisfies the conditions of the Plancherel theorem, cf. Remark 3.3.

Proposition B.2. *$\hat{M}$ is a type I von Neumann algebra.*

Proof. We start with some preliminary remarks. The projections in $\hat{M}'$ correspond to the invariant subspaces of W and the minimal projections in $\hat{M}'$ correspond to the irreducible subspaces of W. The partial isometries in $\hat{M}'$ correspond to intertwiners of closed subcorepresentations of W.

Let $P \in \hat{M}'$ be the projection on $\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_{p,x}$. There are no intertwiners between closed subcorepresentations of $\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \int_{[-1,1]}^{\oplus} W_{p,x}dx$ and the direct sum $\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \int_{x\in\sigma_d(\Omega)}^{\oplus} W_{p,x}$, see Proposition B.1. Therefore, P commutes with every partial isometry in $\hat{M}'$ so that P is central. We have $\hat{M}' = P\hat{M}'P \oplus (1-P)\hat{M}'(1-P)$. The von Neumann algebra $(1-P)\hat{M}'(1-P)$ is of type I since the direct sum decomposition $\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \int_{x\in\sigma_d(\Omega)}^{\oplus} W_{p,x}$ together with the preliminary remarks yield that every projection majorizes a minimal projection.

Now we prove that $P\hat{M}'P$ is a type I von Neumann algebra. Define the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{L}_x = \left(\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{L}_{p,x}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{L}_{p,-x}\right), \quad x \in (0,1); \qquad \mathcal{L}_0 = \oplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{L}_{p,0}.$$

Then,

$$P\mathcal{K} = \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_x dx, \tag{B.1}$$

and we let $\mathcal{Z}$ denote the diagonizable operators with respect to this direct integral decomposition.

We claim that $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \hat{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$. For the former inclusion, note that the stepfunctions in $\mathcal{Z}$ are linear combinations of projections onto invariant subspaces for $\hat{M}$. By the preliminary remarks we find $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \hat{M}'$. To prove that $\hat{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$, note that by [6, Corollary 4.11], $\hat{M}'$ is the σ-strong-$*$ closure of the linear span of elements $\hat{J}Q(p_1,p_2,n)\hat{J}$, $p_1,p_2 \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The operators $Q(p_1,p_2,n)$ are decomposable with respect to the direct integral decomposition (B.1) as was proved in [6]; combine [6, Proposition 10.5] together with the direct integral decomposition [6, Theorem 5.7] and the definition of $Q(p_1,p_2,n)$ [6, Equation (20)]. We prove that $\hat{J}$ is a decomposable operator with respect to (B.1). It suffices to show that $\hat{J} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$ [4, Theorem II.2.1].

Let $B \subseteq [0,1]$ be a Borel set and let $P_B \in \mathcal{Z}$ be the operator $P_B = \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} \chi_B(x) 1_{\mathcal{L}_x} dx$, where χ_B is the indicator function on B. P_B is a projection and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega)\mathcal{K} = \chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega) \bigoplus_{p,m,\epsilon,\eta} \mathcal{K}(p,m,\epsilon,\eta) = \\
&\bigoplus_{p} \left(\bigoplus_{m,\epsilon\eta=1} \int_{x\in B\cup -B}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} dx \oplus \bigoplus_{m,\epsilon\eta=-1} \int_{-x\in B\cup -B}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} dx \right) = \\
&\bigoplus_{p} \bigoplus_{m,\epsilon,\eta} \int_{x\in B\cup -B}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} dx = \bigoplus_{p} \int_{x\in B\cup -B}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_{p,x} dx = \int_{x\in B}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}_x dx = P_B \mathcal{K},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

where the second equation uses [15, Theorem 1.10] and the fact that there is a direct integral decomposition $\mathcal{K}(p,m,\epsilon,\eta) = \int_{\sigma(\Omega)}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} dx$ such that $\chi_B(\Omega)\mathcal{K}(p,m,\epsilon,\eta) = \int_{\varepsilon\eta x\in B}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} dx$, see [6, Theorem 8.13]. Other equations are a matter of changing the order and combining direct integrals.

Note that Ω leaves the spaces $\mathcal{K}^+$ and $\mathcal{K}^-$ invariant. Let P^+ and P^- be the projections onto respectively $\mathcal{K}^+$ and $\mathcal{K}^-$. Write, again using the notation of [6]

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega^- \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_0^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_0^- \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Omega^\pm = \Omega P^\pm$ and $\Omega_0^\pm = \Omega_0 P^\pm$. Note that $\Omega^\pm$ is a self-adjoint extension of $\Omega_0^\pm$. By [6, Equation (11)] we see that $\hat{J}$ leaves the spaces $\mathcal{K}^+$ and $\mathcal{K}^-$ invariant. We claim that

$$\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^+} \Omega^+ \hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^+} = \Omega^+, \qquad \hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^-} \Omega^- \hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^-} = -\Omega^-. \tag{B.3}$$

By [6, Equations (11) and (19)] we find that $\hat{J}\Omega_0\hat{J} f_{m,p,t} = \operatorname{sgn}(pt)\Omega_0 f_{m,p,t}$, so that $\hat{J}\Omega_0^+\hat{J} = \Omega_0^+$ and $\hat{J}\Omega_0^-\hat{J} = -\Omega_0^-$. Hence $\hat{J}\Omega^+\hat{J} \supseteq \Omega_0^+$, and $\hat{J}\Omega^-\hat{J} \supseteq -\Omega_0^-$. Let $x \in \hat{M}'$, and write:

$$\hat{J}x\hat{J} = y^+ \oplus y^-, \qquad y^+ = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \in M_+, \; y^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2^- \\ y_1^- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_-,$$

where the decomposition is as in [6, Proposition 4.8]. By that same proposition, we find that $y_1^-\Omega^+ \subseteq -\Omega^- y_1^-$, $y_2^-\Omega^- \subseteq -\Omega^+ y_2^-$, $y_1^+\Omega^+ \subseteq \Omega^+ y_1^+$ and $y_2^+\Omega^- \subseteq \Omega^- y_2^+$. This implies the inclusion in the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&x\hat{J} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega^- \end{pmatrix} \hat{J} = \hat{J}\hat{J}x\hat{J} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega^- \end{pmatrix} \hat{J} = \\
&\hat{J} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega^- \end{pmatrix} \hat{J} \oplus \hat{J} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2^- \\ y_1^- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega^- \end{pmatrix} \hat{J} \subseteq \\
&\hat{J} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega^- \end{pmatrix} (y^+ \oplus y^-)\hat{J} = \hat{J} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -\Omega^- \end{pmatrix} \hat{J}x.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\hat{J}\Omega^+\hat{J} \oplus -\hat{J}\Omega^-\hat{J}$ is a self-adjoint operator affiliated to $\hat{M}$ extending Ω_0. So [6, Theorem 4.6] implies that $\left(\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^+}\Omega^+\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^+} \oplus -\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^-}\Omega^-\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^-}\right) = \Omega$, which results in (B.3).

To prove that $\hat{J} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$, it suffices to prove that for all Borel sets $B \subseteq [0,1]$, $\hat{J}P_B\hat{J} = P_B$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}P_B\hat{J} = \hat{J}\chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega)\hat{J} &= \hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^+}\chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega^+)\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^+} \oplus \hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^-}\chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega^-)\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{K}^-}\\ &= \chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega^+) \oplus \chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega^-) = \chi_{B\cup -B}(\Omega) = P_B.\end{aligned}$$

The first and last equality are due to (B.2); the third equality is due to (B.3). In all, we have proved that $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \hat{M} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$.

Let $W_x = \left(\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} W_{p,x}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} W_{p,-x}\right)$ for $x \in (0,1]$ and $W_0 = \bigoplus_{p\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}} W_{p,0}$. The operators $Q(p_1,p_2,n)$ form a countable family that generates $\hat{M}$ [6, Proposition 4.9]. We apply [4, Theorem II.3.2] and its subsequent remark, together with [4, Theorem II.3.1] to conclude that

$$P\hat{M}P = \int_{x\in[0,1]}^{\oplus} \hat{M}_x dx, \tag{B.4}$$

where $\hat{M}_x$ is generated by $\{(\omega\otimes\iota)(W_x) \mid \omega \in M_*\}$ almost everywhere. The projections in $\hat{M}_x'$ correspond to irreducible subspaces of W_x. Since W_x decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible corepresentations [6, Proposition 5.4], every projection in $\hat{M}_x'$ majorizes a minimal projection. We find that $\hat{M}_x'$ is type I and by [7, Theorem 14.1.21], [17, Corollary V.2.24] and (B.4) we conclude that $P\hat{M}P$ is type I . ☐

Proposition B.3. *$\hat{M}_c$ is separable.*

Proof. Note that if $\omega_n \in M_*$ is sequence that converges in norm to $\omega \in M_*$, then $\|\lambda(\omega_n) - \lambda(\omega)\| \leq \|\omega_n - \omega\|$ so that $\lambda(\omega_n)$ converges in norm to $\lambda(\omega)$. Since the norm on $\hat{M}_c$ is the operator norm on the GNS-space and $\hat{M}_c$ is the C*-algebra obtained as the closure of $\{\lambda(\omega) \mid \omega \in M_*\}$. It suffices to check that M_* is separable. The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear span of $\{\omega_{f_{m_0,p_0,t_0},f_{m_1,p_1,t_1}} \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, p_i, t_i \in I_q, i = 0,1\}$ is weakly dense, hence norm dense in M_*. ☐

References

[1] Buss, A., and R. Meyer, *Square-integrable coactions of locally compact quantum groups*, Rep. Math. Phys. **63** (2009), 191-224.

[2] Desmedt, P., "Aspects of the theory of locally compact quantum groups: Amenability - Plancherel measure", PhD-Thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven 2003.

[3] Diestel, J., and J.J. Uhl Jr., "Vector measures", Math. Surv. 15., AMS, 1977.

[4] Dixmier, J., "Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien", Gauthiers-Villars, 1957.

[5] Dixmier, J., "Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations", Gauthiers-Villars, 1969.

[6] Groenevelt, W., E. Koelink and J. Kustermans, *The dual quantum group for the quantum group analog of the normalizer of $SU(1,1)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$*, IMRN **7** (2010), 1167-1314.

[7] Kadison, R.V., and J.R. Ringrose, "Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras I and II", AMS, 1997.

[8] Kato, T., "Perturbation theory for linear operators", Springer, 1976.

[9] Koelink, E., and J. Kustermans, *A locally compact quantum group analogue of the normalizer of* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ *in* $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$, Comm. Math. Phys. **233** (2003), 231–296.

[10] Kustermans, J., *Locally compact quantum groups in the universal setting*, Internat. J. Math. **12** (2001), 289–338.

[11] Kustermans, J., "Locally compact quantum groups", LNM 1865, Springer 2005, 99-180.

[12] Kustermans, J., and S. Vaes, *Locally compact quantum groups*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **33** (2000), 837-934.

[13] Kustermans, J., and S. Vaes, *Locally compact quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting*, Math. Scand. **92** (2003), 68-92.

[14] Kustermans, J., *One-parameter representations of C^*-algebras*, arXiv:funct-an/9707009, 1997.

[15] Lance, C., *Direct integrals of left Hilbert algebras*, Math. Ann. **216** (1975), 11–28.

[16] Nussbaum, A.E., *Reduction theory for unbounded closed operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **31** (1964), 33-44.

[17] Takesaki, M., "Theory of operator algebras I", Springer, 1979.

[18] Takesaki, M., "Theory of operator algebras II", Springer, 2000.

[19] Terp, M., *Interpolation spaces between a von Neumann algebra and its predual*, J. Operator Theory **8** (1982), 327-360.

[20] Schmüdgen, K., "Unbounded operator algebras and representation theory", Birkhäuser Verlag, 1990.

[21] Timmermann, T., "An invitation to quantum groups and duality", EMS, 2008.

[22] Vaes, S., *A Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras.* J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), 477-489.

[23] Vaes, S., and L. Vainerman, *Extensions of locally compact quantum groups and the bicrossed product construction.* Adv. in Math. **175** (2003), 1 - 101.

[24] Woronowicz, S.L., *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 613-665.

Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, IMAPP, FNWI, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, the Netherlands

E-mail address: `caspers@math.ru.nl, e.koelink@math.ru.nl`